

Third Persons History of the World To find out the truth Don't listen to the winners side

It's easier to think Everything happened The complete opposite Let me explain it better!

So sorry for the cut/pasting here I have no motivation to go thru it and make it readable either Read it if you want The last ¾ pages are down right Other peoples work I put them here with plans or doing a kick ass time live But it ended up in no particular order IF you did scan You'd see that they are Since I'm not selling this I don't feel that I did wrong But please take my apology if I did wrong someone by using their material I meant well

Here's what you need to now about My knowledge of History Our ancient ancestors were learned when it came to listening to everyone's stories You will see the history rewrite itself for you if you just understand it coming from another point of view ONE that is the truth Picture this: try to think that everything you ever learned was a lie and Both sides of it are from the same liar Then the whole life's story including what's happening now isn't really anything but a made up scenario Controlled by others WELL These same people have lead everyone else down the same path BUT for thousands of years Imagine there are NO terrorist NO bad leaders of the world and NO murder OR wars ALL of these things happening are From One Source It is the same as Ever and THEY needed to change our stories that made us smart AND subject us to a real matrix situation Where 'their sub ordinance' Ancient Wealth carried out orders thru the secret societies main hub The Race Of Kennites Shipping gold to bought familiar powers And even though these families fight too ALL through history SEE IT NOW they were always pinned against each other I know for a fact that NO-ONE in the world wants to die fighting a war IF they don't have too Apart from some village pride WHAT else is it but a destroyer of life and a change of story THE REAL STORY Never changed IT'S IN the plan To one day have control over the masses of fighting free men who rebelled from us before and WE HAD to put them in their place WITH A BUY OUT AT THE END First off everything did happen It's all half true Like these men were sent by their pissed off terrorist leaders BUT only to the extent that All these Muslim leaders NOT necessarily working for BECAUSE they get their own money from title BUT they are direct plants BY the CIA Who is owned and ran by another franchise of the new world order What you need to understand from this THEY ARE ALL IN THE SAME Everything is under THE only TOP of the pyramid AND IT AINT ABOUT MONEY That's our tool to slave The secret societies give you allegiance over us BUT You also do as your told OR your thought is slit IT'S a working machine that is coming after US And the religious talking head's representing their sides Godshow Have the power that'll make any man want to be a God Funny how God /Energy left it like that No man should even claim godly over another We are all made up from god energy particles BUT it's only better if we're connected

11-15-12

To whom it may concern If you'd like to know how much history was a lie Please read

Sorry got on a rant there Let me 'least case scenario' a war description Since they are all exactly the same From the third point of view The first thing you do Is tell all the right

stories And make yourselves look great And kill or cover the rest SO when they get put into our kids history books all look kinda the same “Our side is great” HERE IS PROOF of a cover up You must read the communications between 4 agencies starting from the top unto the first level You’ll never see them Secret society stuff The next level is important READ the communications and reasons behind them To understand why a peacehopeful nation Attempts to take over the world and TO eliminate PEOPLE THE REST OF THIS IS CUT AND PASTED AND IN NO PARTICULAR ORDER

Everyone had about the same laws about Jews Including England I have never faulted a Jew in my life For I know that the ones “leading” this deceptive Jew ideal for payback and conquest Are not the same Jews that are Jews I love the Jews But I don’t like the ones in the secret society Wow another rant

History is less about what country the bad thing happened in but it has everything to do with what secret society was crossing the other The secret society always crossed all borders even the civil societies are under them in the pyramid The Secret Serpent Society rules all Worldly Agency’s all Corporations almost all World Governments Yu have it wrong Our had always been a secret society money puppet Don’t judge me for this

No one can remember this feeling but we were all family once, before the differences were stressed on us, we used to consider ourselves as different branches of the same clan.

Che’s grandfather was a Halliburton ?

Proof that every agency in the world is In some way influenced by The Masters Secret Society There is a Sun coming your way Thru the 3600 year orbit with us And we’re going to go thru a rebirth of this earth You’ll most likely all die & No one says a thing

Everything you were taught pertaining to ‘history’ was the revisionist side of history. You’ve been lied to your whole lives, from all angles.

The antichrist The Beast Has always been these mysterious satans that always temped us The Crist can be any man who gains a connection with the higher power of God The only anti-crist is the Reptile’s slave like a king or queen or ruler They represent evil but sell you ‘light’ And you shall not take the bribe when it comes Spit out evil against man

Nostradomus Was an agent for the n W o at the time He was telling the plan so it happened like that Or did you wonder why Hitler came to power He was hired for his mouth and his name BUT he was ran as patsy by the Old Money and the Secret Societies The beast should be the money It is our only link to Slavery from more Predictable wars

The “Japanese invasion” We would have done the exact same thing They were only patriotic And were getting starved out by the n W o We owe them a thanks for fighting for freedom from Tyranny The real tyranny Coming from all those who drag us to war

the dark ages were planned and necessary for our masters,

The japan attacking us was Just a mere Cause by The Masters false flag to the US all worked up to war Governmently speaking Yes our government really wanted war and the money powers for BOTH sides were set up and against each other like a card game for rule And the cleansing of millions of mouth's to feed WAS SO now we owe them more!

The answer about God shouldn't start with the question's of Jesus or Muhammad.

Adolf Hitler was not the cause of ww2, even then it was the banks who wanted to set up a system of 'a bank ran society' brought about by a dictator. He was chose because he didn't need a telepromptor.

All of our wars are meant to make the money families more money and align themselves into dictatorship roles. We die so they can enslave us all. The opposite of what should really be happening. The money families weren't really concerned about who wins these, in the system they set up, they still rule the nations, and profited from these wars. Don't blame Hitler, their scapegoat; fascism (those with the money will rule over everyone else) is the real enemy.

I'm not saying that all of our founding fathers were 'in on it', when they belonged to certain secret societies, the recent ones were (like the Bush's), but back then the 'alien' race was completely hidden to them, they secret clubs like the masons were mostly symbolic, ritualistic, a place for advancement in the community and a social club to drink with your buddies. There was a plan from the higher up's but the truth of it didn't trickle very much, only in the money families, and they weren't liked by our founding fathers. Similar to my mason friends now, we love American freedom and want the republic to stand; therefore we hoard guns and talk about the day when we need to fight for our freedom from the money families who want to bring us down and enslave us.

The crusades were not a holy war, but it was sold as that,

Islam was created so.. I'll get back to that later

Torah Jews are almost --(extinct maybe)--, just like when 99% of the people who knew Jesus never said anything about himself being God, they're extinct, except for those who know. I'm declaring that a new world order, with one world gov't be set up by those without the money, and based on freedom from and for everything. It could be established, it's been planned out for years in my head and writings, I haven't found one thing wrong with it, Oh what life could be without any need of money, schedules do work ya now, you've had us living on one ever since time began.

The machu pichu, Il cabamba Was a military and religios city And the city didn't 'just' exist 100 years

In 1781, Fr. Carroll founded the Sulpician Seminary in Baltimore and in 1789, the year the U.S. Constitution took effect, founded Georgetown University, a Jesuit institution that was managed by the Sulpician Order for a period of time. The Sulpicians were a heretical Catholic order whose headquarters are located on the Zero Meridian in Paris, France.

For thousand of years when they have taken on a new department or mission, they create a new secret society to chair the commities, this statement proves that the masters create a way to control us, basically “these jesus believing people.... Tell about the innocent jews.

In 1790, John Carroll was appointed by the Roman Catholic Church to be the first Bishop of the United States with the task of organizing the Catholic Church in the new nation. Bishop Carroll encouraged Catholic religious orders to establish branches in the U.S. and, with the help of George Washington, secured federal funds to send Catholic missionaries to the western territory. John Carroll became an archbishop in 1808 and, under his leadership, the Catholic population of the United States increased from 25,000 to 200,000.

The warriors and of our socialic masons won they're freedom from the 'real' masons who bought us off half way thru our revolution, didn't hear that in the history books did you? I got that doosey from the mouths of the families themselves, boo ya.

John Carroll's brother, Daniel Carroll, was a framer and signer of the Constitution and a Mason who participated in laying the Masonic cornerstone of the U.S. Capitol. The Catholic Encyclopedia entry for Daniel Carroll states: "Initially, he was prevented from becoming involved in Maryland politics by laws that excluded Catholics from holding public office. Once these laws were repealed in 1776, he was elected to the upper house of the Maryland legislature (1777-1781). He was a delegate from Maryland to the Continental Congress (1781-1783) and was one of the signers of the Articles of Confederation (1 Mar 1781)."

We lost half our country half way thru the revolutionary war, sure we got a kick ass country out of it, but during that time we also sold ourselves out to the to the real cause of the war, it wasn't only for George that America needed to be under the royal families thumb, and they got control of us by other means, money, thank goodness our masons were the ones who did the asskicking and set us up with a good lifestyle, a killer thorn of the King. The Kings are truly blind, they see only what the money families want him too see, they are never in charge in the way they're perceived, King George was evil, but he wasn't our evil, the masters we're the evil set upon the out of control young nation they had known about for thousands of years within the main secret society The Serpents, funded into expansion, and were now loosing some coin over, in more ways then taxes (cover-kinda), .

Every thing about the story behind national treasure is n W o propaganda, it may lead in a -----, but everything about the masons of old are completely covered up and over, WE laugh at the nonsense that you have been made to believe, and the Da Vinci code cover that flew thru the world, to subdue the research by the internet generation, and give you

talking points so you don't look at this hand over here, planning on getting ride of every scrap of evidence there of offline and shut you mouths of knowing Americans who don't fall for the masters tripe. Beware, Beware the secrets of the truth can't help you now, it is time to stop the direction you've been lead for 4 thousand years, it's time to except that these are all under the line of the same 'secret society' and they are all in our world knowing something completely different about the world and you are 'the blackest in real knowledge', these are the secrets that the money family elites who rule the world have, are all in these high-ranking conglomerate corporation's are all out for them selves, the ones who shave their ring, and those who's families are part of the reptile race.

Unlike in national treasure, tempers never had anything that wasn't the masters, whatever pope they had in place at the time, causing problems and making money has always been the signs of a tyrant before, they are the bringers of hardship for money and more control,

In 1517, Martin Luther's "95 Theses" commenced the Reformation and, in 1540, the Society of Jesus was authorized by Pope Paul III to launch the Counter-Reformation. James Trager's

"The following information is going to be a 'shock' to all Roman Catholics. In 1491 San Ignacio De Loyola was born in the Basque province of Guipuzcoa, Spain. His parents were Marranos and at the time of his birth the family was very wealthy. As a young man he became a member of the Jewish Illuminati Order in Spain. As a cover for his crypto Jewish activities, he became very active as a Roman Catholic. On May 20, 1521 Ignatius (as he was now called) was wounded in a battle, and became a semi-cripple. Unable to succeed in the military and political arena, he started a quest for holiness and eventually ended up in Paris where he studied for the priesthood. In 1539 he had moved to Rome where he founded the 'Jesuit Order,' which was to become the most vile, bloody and persecuting order in the Roman Catholic Church. In 1540, the current Pope Paul III approved the order. At Loyola's death in 1556 there were more than 1000 members in the Jesuit order, located in a number of nations. "Setting up the Jesuit order, Ignatius Loyola devised an elaborate spy system, so that no one in the order was safe. If there was any opposition, death would come swiftly. The Jesuit order not only became a destructive arm of the Roman Catholic Church; it also developed into a secret intelligence service." (How the World Government Rules the Nations)

The fact that the founder of the Society of Jesus, originally called "'The Company' of Jesus," was a Marrano Jew, should give us pause to reflect and to reassess the official party line that the Jesuits is a "Roman Catholic" institution. As well, to wonder about the source of this propaganda campaign, which is probably the Illuminati. Pastor Torell adds, "While the Popes relied more and more on the Jesuits, they were unaware that the hardcore leadership were Jewish, and that these Jews held membership in the Illuminati Order which despised and hated the Roman Catholic Church." This seems very generous of Rev. Torrell considering the popes of the Reformation period. [See Pope Chart] The pope who authorized formation of the Society of

Jesus in 1540, as well as the Council of Trent conducted by the Jesuits from 1545 to 1563 to crush the Reformation, was quite the reprobate.

“According to Life magazine, Pope Paul III (1534-1549) as a cardinal had fathered three sons and a daughter. On the day of his coronation he celebrated the baptism of his two great-grandchildren. He appointed two of his teenage nephews as cardinals, sponsored festivals with singers, dancers and jesters, and sought advice from astrologers.”

Roman Catholics should ponder the fact that, if this Company of Cabalist Jews was mobilized to quash the Reformation, then the Protestants were, in reality, fleeing the High Cabal of crypto-Jewry which controlled all of Europe through their religious front, the Roman Catholic Church. That God was delivering His people, true Christians, from this Synagogue of Satan is the only honest explanation for the Reformation.

We are just another company for them my children, one day we will go under and these insane elites will have a real loser for our future, not the goody goody feelings you get now with the liberal movement, I know you people mean well, I and the rest of us are thankful someone is progressing us, but STOP you're leading us right into they're trap, EVERYONE in the news or public eye are paid to do what they do, paid by the same masterful corporation, What the fuck do you think they talk about at Bulider Birge Group. They're plotting timely activities to keep your eyes evactly where they want, polically, 99 o/o have piced up a side and will pretent to fight for it for money, some arent bought off but they are blackmailed with pain of death to not say too much; but, shame on all of you for letting us go here and not telling us about it earlier so we could have done something about it, anyone who has kept us in the dark, off with their head, an assalt (set up) on my bretheran is an assalt on us and our families, for we are now under the burdon of a 'planned to fail' country after exercising our hard work, our country is bust and we the 99 o/o want a say in the new republic, by pain of death you will tell the truth.

There you go absolute proof that master control everything already,

The Knights Templar's ascendancy to power in America was accelerated in 1693 with the founding of The College of William & Mary in Virginia under a charter issued by King William III and Queen Mary II and which taught the “ancient secrets” of this order to the vast majority of what are now called the Founding Fathers of the United States, including Thomas Jefferson and 16 of the signers of the Declaration of Independence.

Theodore Roosevelt, stating about them,

“Behind the ostensible government sits enthroned an invisible government owing no allegiance and acknowledging no responsibility to the people”.

And the 28th President of the United States, Woodrow Wilson further stating,

“Since I entered politics, I have chiefly had men's views confided to me privately. Some of the biggest men in the U.S., in the field of commerce and manufacturing, are afraid of somebody,

are afraid of something. They know that there is a power somewhere so organized, so subtle, so watchful, so interlocked, so complete, so pervasive, that they had better not speak above their breath when they speak in condemnation of it."

This past April, however, the Knights Templar's centuries long silence came to an abrupt end when one of their "spokesman" Jonathan Stuart Leibowitz (above image) held an extraordinary and secret meeting with US Treasury Secretary Timothy Geithner stating that his order was about to "unleash" their estimated wealth of over \$980 Trillion to "save the World from itself".

This is a set up.... A show to the american christian...

Theres a reason why only a number of presidents were Masons. It was something new, and after learning thru experiences and managing a new company (The USA), they had to scuttle the mason popularity and go covert, into the many governments in the U.S. and these achieving men for the establishment of america carry on today thruought different secret societies, like the cfr, their in all of the leftwing lawnmowers of freedom and rights and of course the cia, oh and almost all the polititions. Elitest selfserving filth. Breaks your heart doesn't it.?

Secrets in societies arent just hid from you, only the tops of these groups are let known the true adgenda and most likely the underlings are fed masive lies and redirection.

These 'priest' we're the business runners, they in secret for the master will rule.

They set us up in a pyramid type of company (rule), right there you gotta know that you are the sand around it, the masters don't share info with those 2 steps down from them, they are very organized, planning everything through history makes you good architects and their knowledge coming from failed attempts (sent in a new dirrection under a different company name – like 'the whoever') is best passed down thru a secret family. The mules (agent for them, basically every agency in the world) carry out meaningless and nessicary 'Hits' on society to achieve another goal of establishing more power, control and wealth, and the 99 o/o die for nothing except to free up space.

It says in the book of Numbers, "where for it is said in the book of the Wars of Jehovah". Subsequently, in the book of Isaiah was read "seek yee out the Book of the Lord". Nobody had even heard of these books, the Wars of Jehovah, the Book of the Lord. What on earth were they, did they exist? Well in fact, remnants of them do exist. What transpired upon pursuing remnants of these books and indeed the very substance of the Babylonian and Mesopotamian records that were put together for the Old Testament, was that the answer, to one question became very clear indeed.

This is only about 500 years or so before the time of Jesus Christ. So, the true story of the Jews and Jehovah, and the chosen people is really quite young. It doesn't go way way back to the times of Adam and Eve, not even to the times of Abraham. They were actually following a

different God. So, the Jews take Jehovah on board as their main God, they decide out of sheer fear for the peril of everything, that this is the God that they're now going to follow. The Christians, then in a vague replication of that, eventually take on the epitome of the same god. It doesn't call him Jehovah, it simply calls him God, which is pretty inspirational (laughing). And it's at that time, that we suddenly find that Baal and Adoni and these other names disappear. They're not being followed anymore. What we now have, from that moment in time, we've now moved forward into the current common era, to faiths of fear.

So, where does that leave us, it leaves us knowing that within a general pantheon of Gods and Goddesses, of which many are named in the Bible, that there were actually two predominant male god figures who in different cultures have been called many different names. But, we know that by whatever names these Gods are called, we're dealing all the time with two different characters. We can't mix up, in the old books, the name Jehovah with the name Lord. If one now reads the Old Testament with that in mind, not the English version, the Jewish translated version of the Old Testament, then just supplements the names each time. We suddenly find, that every time that something nasty happens its Jehovah, and every time something nice happens it's this guy they call the Lord. It's not the same fellow. So who were they?

They had all of these names, but these names all mean the same thing, one way or another. They mean, "ruler of a mountain" or "Lord". Do they actually have names? Well, to find that out, we have to look back a little further and again we have to stay in Babylon. Perhaps, more generally in Mesopotamia, to follow the story back, because these same gods were followed from country to country as the Adamite family progressed. We can find the first clue in documents that were discovered in 1929, in Syria, and these documents actually tell us that the two gods have their joint seat in Southern Iraq. We're in the land that was then called Sumer. What did these people call the same gods, can we find replications of the stories? So that we know we're actually talking about the same gods, and can we find the stories turning up in exactly the same way, with the same gods?

They tell us that the God we know, and got to know as Jehovah, was called Ilu-kur-gal. It means "Ruler of the Mountain". He was also called, as a sort of abbreviation Enlil. He had a brother, and his brother had probably the most important name we can find in the history of deities, because it's really where the whole thing began. In English, his brothers name was Enki, it means Archetype. A very important word. The text informs us that it was Enlil that brought the flood, that it was Enlil that destroyed Babylon, that it was Enlil who constantly opposed the education and enlightenment of humankind.

The Syrian text tells us that it was Enlil who obliterated the cities of Sodom and Gomorrah in later times, on the Dead Sea, because it said they were great centers of wisdom and learning. But what we get told is that it was a den of debauchery. When in fact, one only has to look at how the church is run today, to discover that anybody who thinks something different to the dogma is running a den of debauchery, and you get called all sorts of names, heretics.

So, it's Enki we discover on the other hand that is doing the other stuff. He, despite this wrath and vengeance of the brother figure, is granting the Sumerians access to the "Tree of Knowledge" and to the "Tree of Life". He sets up the escape strategy during the great flood. He passed over the time honored "Tablets of Destiny" and the "Tablets of Scientific Law", the bedrock of the early mystery schools. So, this establishes a scene, it is somewhere for us begin. What we know is that history, isn't always history as we perceive it. We have to get back to the oldest records and generally it doesn't matter what century. When we look at the original story, written down by the people closest to the event, it is different and probably stands more chance of being correct. Without being a time traveler with a video recorder, we can't prove anything, but we can trust their writings, better than we can trust the 10th Century Interpretation of them.

The early mystery schools, and it becomes very very apparent that outside of pure historical records, that the ancient mystery schools of Egypt, Mesopotamia, & Canaan hold more of the secrets that we had to get and come to grips with. As far as I could determine, and I'm looking for a route to a speciality in the bloodline here, it seems very, very, apparent that everything that we had read in the text at that point, that whenever one was talking about this particular bloodline, the bloodline that came down to David, and eventually to Jesus. It had something rather strange and rather different about its dietary structure, there was clearly a line being built up. A line being purposefully contrived for some reason or purpose, whatever.

And its diet was different. There were bodily supplements being used, that the words meant nothing in the text. I haven't got a clue what they are. And so, I was talking about this with someone about this, and she said fear not, because you will very soon meet the people who might have some of the answers. Well, I thought, that's pretty good (laughing). And I thought she was going to take me into the dining room and introduce me to somebody (laughing), but it wasn't quite like that. Within a week and a half of getting back to Britain, low and behold quite out of the blue, contact was made.

I'm affiliated, for those of you who don't know too much about me, to an organization called the "European Council of Princes". It's a constitutional body who comprises 33 sovereign houses. And it really operates as a sort of Parliamentary buffer, between dictates and what people will or will not be subjected to. I was approached simply because of that, nothing to do with my new book, by an organization that I'd never heard of before. Considering all my years of involvement, I'd never heard of them, and they were called "The Imperial Court of the Dragon Sovereignty". The only thing that I knew about them, was that they had existed a few 100 years ago and I knew that they were said to be in Hungary.

What I discovered, was that they were still very operative now, and are still centered in Hungary. Their story, however, is much much older and this became so intriguing. Those of you who are reading books about ancient law, mystery schools, freemasonry, and all this sort of thing, will know about "Hermetic Schools". The early schools in ancient Egypt from the time of 1450 BC,

and we know then, that there were scientific academies, that had apparently great secrets. What we're not told, is that the mystery schools, were actually schools founded by the priests of Ra in about 2200 BC. And the senior of these mystery schools, was called "The Sovereign Court of the Dragon". We actually have here, the oldest single scientific mystery school in the world.

What were the earliest aims and ambitions of the orders? See here they said, this is what we were set up to do, and this comes from 2000 BC to perpetuate and advance the scientific strength of the Royal Family. Now, we're looking here, apparently, at the Royal Family in Egypt. But once one gets into the genealogy, we can find that that same family, was the family of the great Kings in Iraq. So, there we are.

The picture begins to emerge, yes there is something special here. There's something so special here that they set up Royal Courts and Orders, to look after the formulation of this family. Nothing was said to me then about diets, or bodily supplements, or things like this from Iraq. But, the thing is beginning to emerge into a picture. Suddenly, this line that was so important that for 2000 years after Christ, and for 2000 years before Christ, for 4000 years this same order has been operative. And its aims and ambitions, are the same today as they were then, to support and perpetuate the Royal Bloodline.

The Kings, of the early successions of Kings, who became the Pharaohs of Egypt and the Kings of Israel, and the High Kings of Judea were originally the Kings of Mesopotamia! We then look for traditions, and we find the anointing ceremonies were identical. For Kings, upon coronation, were anointed with the fat of the Sacred Dragon, the Crocodile. Referred to, in Egypt, as the holy mesa. From which derived the Hebrew word "to anoint". And the early Kings of the period, were always called Dragons, or Mesas' or Messiahs. That's where Messiah comes from, it comes from the ancient holy crocodile. So, when one talks about Jesus the Messiah, we actually have a lot of anomaly there. Because he's not "THE" Messiah, he's one of a whole succession of Messiah's. These are pretty important people, that have been looked after by a Court of High Priests, people looking after the Bloodline.

In times of battle, we are told that different Kingdoms are brought together to form an overall army. They appointed a head Dragon, a head Messiah, the King of Kings, and the name in Celtic terms became, "Pen Dragon". So, we're beginning to see the names creeping in here, of all the stuff we know about in Arthurian Lore, and the Royal Kingdoms. This started way way back. We're suddenly in 2000 BC. We're now going to move back into 3000 and 4000 BC, when we find these same titles being used: Dragons & Messiahs, all the time.

One of the things I learnt from the Order, was actually the root of the word Kingship. Not many of us think about it, do we? Kings are Kings, Queens are Queens, and it doesn't mean a lot, that's what they are. The name goes back to the very, very, earliest time. The records show that the central "G" in the word Kingship, or the G on the end of King, is just a latterly western addition, for the sake of making it easier to say, for the sake of another word. Because the word Kingship is absolutely identical with the word Kinship. It means, blood relative. A King is a blood relative

and that's all it means. In it's original form, however, it's spelt differently. We haven't got Kingship or Kinship we have "CAINSHIP". And the first King of the Dragon Messianic succession is recorded in the documents of the order, from 2200 BC, to be the "Biblical Cain". Well, he isn't actually terribly important in the story is he? Apart from killing his brother Able and disappearing from sight, he turns out to be the founder of the greatest Sovereign line in History.

We can now begin to see the anomaly in the Book of Genesis. The line from Seth, the third son of Adam and Eve, turned out not to be the important person in this aspect at all. The important person is the guy that gets shunned and pushed aside, the first son of Eve. Whose name we're told is Cain, in fact the records tell us that he was "The Cain", "The King". He was the first earthly High King of an area called Kish, which sits pretty near Babylon in Iraq. So, two more things suddenly come to light, The more you start looking the more it begins to leap out from pages, just as it did with the New Testament stuff. Because the stories that we know, that we're taught in our school rooms and our pulpits are about Cain and Able, The two sons of Adam and Eve, and Cain slew Able.

Actually, when you look at the bible, and all the other texts of that period there are not two sons of Adam and Eve at all. They are both sons of Eve, but only Able turns out to be a son of Adam. Even the book of Genesis explains to us that Eve said "I have gotten me a man from the Lord", who was the Lord, the Lord was Enki. If we now begin to look at old Jewish literature again, we discover that there is nothing outside of our pulpit teachings that tells us that Adam was the father of Cain. We, suddenly learn that Enki was the father of Cain.

This is from 'essence we're looing strickly at history.

So, we find, in fact, what the "Dragon Court" has recorded for all time. Something called the first grants of arms in sovereign, when Lord Enki granted his son the Grail. The mark of Cain. What is the mark of Cain? It is the most simple of all sovereign devices. It is simply, and the early Hebrew records tell us this, the Mesopotamian records tell us this, and they all tell us the same symbol. It is very simply an upright red cross within a circle. A very familiar image. A red cross in a circle, it's called the Grail.

Why was it called the Grail, because we know the Grail to be something else? The Grail is a Chalice or a bloodline, or whatever we spoke about in our previous talks. Well, it's rather odd because this emblem, although its a cross within a circle, is defined as the "Dew Cup". The "Cup of the Waters". This is rather interesting, because when one gets into grail lore in medieval times, we always have "Ladies of the Waters", "Ladies of the Fountains". Water's terribly important here in this early sovereign history. The "Mark of Cain" is the "Dew Cup", the "Cup of the Waters", which in the roman language was called Rosecruxious, Rose Dew, Cruxious Cup, Rosecruxions, from whence comes Roseicruxions, the dew cup.

An upright red cross, even today the "Dragon Court" uses the same symbol, a little more advanced, a little more pretty, but it's the identical emblem to what they had then. So, Cain is now King. Cain has a grant of arms. What do we do to perpetuate his bloodline? What do we do

to make his successors even more special? We now have to marry him back into the godly line. He marries a woman, the records tell us, called Luluwa.

The bible doesn't give us this name. It tells us about his offspring, but it doesn't give us her name, as some records do, Luluwa. It names his son in the bible, Enoch. Other records, name his son Atun. The Sumerian records tell us that Atun was the successor of Cain. That's the reason why he's not mentioned in the bible, only, Enoch. Atun was the successor. Atun was the next High King of Kish. So, we're now beginning not only a royal bloodline, but we're beginning to see the very first kingly dynasty on earth. Kings, earthly Adamas, highly bred people, meant to be leaders among human kind. We keep seeing them being referred to as the fruit and the branches of the vine. But there is something even more strange here, because Eve is also called the "Lady of the Rib" as well. We kept getting told how Adams' rib was taken from his side, and she was made from his "Rib". Well, that's an odd one, because the "Lady of Life" in Sumerian, the word "Lady of Life" was Ninti. There is nothing in the ancient records that tells us anything about Adams' "Rib", or anything of this sort of stuff.

So, we now have Enki and Enlil, and we discover that this Ninkhursag lady is their sister. And they're both married to the same sister. It is the rule of matriarchal Kingship, as applied at the time. The same rule that, subsequently, got made the rule on earth. Kings married their first, second cousins, and it seems to suddenly have become apparent that this is being done to perpetuate something quite specific in the bloodline. Those who have read books about ancient Sumer and the gods at the time, will know that the great pantheon of the era were called the Anunnaki. These were the gods at the time. In Canan they called them, "Eloheim". In fact, the "Court of the Elohim", the "Court of the Anunnaki" and the "Grand Assembly", is actually mentioned in the bible. It was looking at Psalm 82, we discover Jehovah actually making an appearance in "Court" before the other gods, and trying to claim supremacy. So, even the bible tells us that he wasn't the only one.

Cain was important, we discover, because he was actually the son, not of Adam and Eve, but of Enki and Eve. We actually have here, if we use the word they use, the word clone, the branch. We have the second stage in the cloning fertilization process. We have now not only a partly contrived Eve, who was 50% "Anunnaki", we now have Eve and Enki who is wholly Anunnaki. And a son that's turns out to be, according to the records, at least "3/4 Anunnaki", the other man who is very much approaching a god figure himself. The records actually say that Cain's blood was so advanced, that his brother Abel's blood was earthbound by comparison. That's what the records say!!! His blood was so advanced. What does our Bible say? Cain rose up against Able, and spilled his blood on the ground (laughing). It actually comes from the translation of "rose up", Cain rose so high above Able we're told. So, we're told he rose up against Able and spilled his blood on the ground. This is not what the records say at all. There is no story anywhere, outside of our bible teaching, that indicates that, whatsoever.

So, we're moving into sovereign history. We have a bloodline that is being contrived, it's being contrived for leadership among men. In a very special way, the gods at the time, are actually trying to implant, whatever it is that they have that's so special, into this nuclear race here, the new leaders, the "Cains", the "Kings". So, we can now progress our story to what now becomes, and this is something in the records in the Dragon Court, the very, very first grant of "Royal Arms" in sovereign history. The grant of arms, which denoted the messianic bloodline for all time. Today as then. The Sumerians refer to this insignia as the Gra-al, familiar isn't it, Grail when you put it together, "The Holy Grail", The bible calls it the "Mark of Cain". The mark has been portrayed to us, by the church, as if it was some sort of a curse. The Lord thrust the mark upon Cain, but why did he do it? It said, he did it to save him being killed by his enemies. At that moment in time, we're supposed to believe that Cain had killed Able, and apart from his mother there's nobody else on earth anyway. But, Cain has a mark on his head to protect him from his enemies "What Enemies???" (laughing).

In latterly times, the Holy Grail is often referred to as the vine, the vine of grapes. The word keeps coming up. These people are Cains, these people are clones! Now, today we use the word cloning in quite a different form, but it almost means the same thing. Special, contrived to be of a particular kind. KLONE in the old spelling. So, by virtue of this contrived breeding, what we have is a specifically modeled succession beginning to buildup in history. A succession which judging by their military prose, judging by their political influence, judging by their leadership of the great assemblies, seem to have had a much more heightened awareness to it all.

It's at this stage, that it is interesting to note how the "Rosicrucian Church" actually acknowledged, the true significance of the "Star Fire Ritual". Because, they moved it into a realm of Gothic Legend. The ultimate holders of the "Malcu", in the old tradition, were "the Dragon and Pen Dragons", were Draco, Their conditioning, including high supplementary levels of melatonin and other secretions, meant that they were people of the night. They were people of the "Star Fire". They were, according to the "Christian Church", princes of darkness who thrived for the blood of virgins. Who were, "Vampires" (laughing). Draco, Dracular.

As we meet here tonight, on this very night there is taking place, maybe it's over now, I doubt it very much knowing these guys. Starting about midday, at Boston University, a symposium of authors and historians from all around the world, to which I was invited. The Chairman Prof. Raymond McNally had called the meeting, to commemorate the public occasion of Bram Stoker's famous book Dracula. 1897, it was published. It's not generally known, that Bram Stoker who wrote this book, was an officer in the "Emperial Court of the Dragons", (laughing). He is also prominent, as an "Officer in the Ordo Templi Orientas". The order of the eastern temple, the most sacred and scientific branch of any "Templar" organization in the world, who were originally with the "Essene" blood brotherhood of Qumran. The Motto of this order, is "God is Man".

What happens is that the line that we follow from Abraham to David is not the line we should be following. When in this Kingly succession we should be following that moment in time the line of

the Pharaoh's in Egypt. And that's where David's history comes straight back from. The lowly shepherd who with his sling slew Goliath, was actually born straight out of Pharaohnic Egypt. Contemporary historical records tell us that at the time of Abraham at about 2000 BC, the whole of earth, the great capital Sumer was sacked by an invasion. That suddenly gives us a reason to why on earth it would be, that somebody like Abraham, the head of a very senior family line, would suddenly up and leave his own country with his father and the rest of his family.

In fact we can date it more precisely. It's not around 2000 BC, these records are actually dated parallel along with other events at the time, and the year was absolutely precisely 1960BC, so we know exactly the era of Abraham. So what really happened, what really forced Abraham and Lot and the rest of the family to suddenly up and leave this great city which they were Kings of? In 1960BC we're told in the Sumerian and Arcadian records that people came in from Syria and hordes from Persia, and it said that quote "and they overthrew, and the orders they destroyed, they were like a deluge all things they consumed, when unto of Sumer did they change thee and the sacred dynasty of the temple they exiled". It's as clear as a bell, the sacred dynasty from the Temple they were cast from. It was at this time in Sumerian history that the empire fell absolutely and totally. Abraham was forced to flee northwards, he fled from Ur into the North, then into the country before moving into Canaan, the records continue "Ur is destroyed, bitter is it's lament, the country's blood now fills its holes like a hot bronze in a mould, bodies dissolve like fat in the sun, the temple the dynasty is destroyed, smoke lies on our cities like a shroud, the Anunnaki have abandoned like migrating birds".

Historically this collapse of the Sumerian empire from the moment when the Anunnaki abandoned the place like migrating birds, immediately follows the historical ransacking of Babylon by Jehovah. The story of the Tower of Babel. It fits the time frame absolutely. The story of Genesis relates to us, that the people who were there were said by Jehovah to be very good but were suddenly punished, because of a very strange transgression which actually had never previously been described at all.

This transgression was that they all spoke the same language we're told, so god decides to confuse their language. In actual fact their language was of course Sumerian, the oldest written language on earth, their transgression wasn't however that they spoke the same language, in fact Genesis tells us that the transgression, and the transgression was that Jehovah had punished the people who had said, let us build a city and a tower so the top may reach the heavens and let us make a name for ourselves less we be scattered abroad. Now that's not made too much sense to anybody. Let us make a name for ourselves less we be scattered abroad. For that Babel and the tower was smashed and the people were overrun. What the text actually used was a different word, and the word it used was "Shem", from which comes Sumer.

Let us make a Shem less we be scattered abroad, and there we suddenly discover that the word Shem was translated to another name and there is a reason for that and we'll come back to that, because it does have a bearing on the word name. But in actual fact the word Shem came from the word Shaman which means heaven. It derives from a root word Shema which means "that

which is highward" the Shem was in fact a monument, a highward monument in one of it's respects, a highward monument, and Shem was actually the monument from which we in English we call Church Spires and Steeples.

However the Shem was kind of more than that, because there weren't just big shems, there were little shems, and a shem was highward and the core name of the word was Shemana. And Shemana meant the highward fire stone.... the highward fire stone, fire stone is the Shemana bit that is Ana, Shem is highward, Fire Stone is Ana. And when fire stone isn't described as fire stone what is it, what does it mean, Ana is translated in Sumerian into a "Bright Shinning Metal". So Shemama a highward fire stone, is a high bright shinning metal. The use of the word Shem is apparent in the name of the people of the era, Shem always meant shinning when it was applied to people or metallic things, otherwise it meant highward. It meant something in a High state, something that gleamed, something that was powerful.

So back to Babylon, and they're about to build this Shem to save themselves from being scattered abroad, but what does the historical records tell us again, and what does the bible tell us about this bit of the story. What they tell us, we've just heard that hordes of invaders came into the country, all of these people suddenly invaded and sacked the country at that time. God says in the Bible, Jehovah that god, "I shall come down and confound the language of all the earth" when in fact what the historical records tell us, is precisely that. After the destruction, the invaders came in from all sides, and we knew not our own language anymore.

The confusion of language is simply the influx of people that suddenly had never been there before, because the gates of the cities were open, because Jehovah, Enlil was for some reason was a bit put out. It is then said to us that the invasion was a direct result of friction amongst the Anunnaki Grand Council because Enlil Jehovah has decided to assume the presidency because his father Anu was about to retire. The text continues: Anu went up to heaven, Enlil made the earth his subjects, the seas enclosed with a loop were given to Enki.

Now Enki was not happy about this, because although he was the younger of the two sons. His mother was the elder of the two sisters of their father. And Enki said "I know you have been born after him, but I am the son of the senior mother, therefore I hold the Kingship". The actual quote continues "I am Enki, the great brother of the Gods, I am he who has been born as the first son of Anu". Well in the midst of all of this Enlil and Enki were battling this stuff out with the Council; and Enki's son, a God called Marduk, decides to settle everything and he assumes control and the people, while the battles between the two brothers were going on, decide to support Marduk. He seems to be on their side and rather than have Enlil scatter them abroad and banish them and kick them out of their country, they will raise a Shem, a monument to Marduk. Let us build a Shem unless we be scattered abroad.

So this is all too much for Jehovah, Enlil, he decides that having lost his own popularity to his own brother Enki that he's going to open up the gates of Sumer, let in the invaders from all sides and the scribes record that then the terrible storm came and the culture was annihilated, the

temple fell, the dynasty fell and we saw a great confusion of tongues. The high gates they said, the roads were piled with dead, the wide streets where the feasting crowds would gather, lay scattered with bodies, in the streets the bodies lay, in the roadways the bodies lay, in the fields the bodies lay, no more gardens.... now there are bodies laying in heaps, because Enlil has let in the invaders.

So all the work that had been done by the Anunnaki over 1000's of years to build up this amazing civilization, to build up a line of Kingship through Star Fire and the preservation of it's culture was destroyed in one foul swoop, and the dynasty barely managed to escape. Abraham took the family up North and eventually went into the land of Canaan. The Anunnaki at that stage, it said had departed like migrating birds.

So it appears that Enki and the others have gone. To where, no one knows, maybe we'll find out. Enlil as Jehovah appears to hang on for awhile, we're told that Abraham communicated with Jehovah in Canaan. But the book of Jasher then tells us something quite interesting, and this is another book that is left out of the Bible. In real terms, the God Jehovah, the Enlil God, last appears but the very final time in the Bible when he's there with Abraham trying to get him to slay his son Isaac. The book of Jasher, a book written long before the Bible, we know that because actually the records of ancient Babylon refer to the Book of Jasher, even the bible refers to the importance of the book of Jasher, which means it was certainly written before it.

The bible tells us Moses asked the Lord, on the mountain, what he should call him, because he didn't know who he was, because he knew he couldn't be Enil or Enki. The God who Moses met was not the God, he was the Lord, and the Book of Jasher tells us he was the great Lord Jethro, the man to whom Enki's ancestors had given the tablets of destiny which he was to pass onto Moses. Enlil had gone, Enki had gone. From the moment of Abrahams time on this earthly plain, there isn't any further history of these deities, except in mythology and their veneration. And what is perpetuated in this mythology is the word Lord, because in their deputy stations, the seniors of the Bloodline, and the seniors of the Priesthood, took on the definition of Lord, and whenever the Lord came up in any records, that's where the Hebrews got it from, they decided that that was God. Since 1960 BC Jehovah, Enlil and Enki had gone. Departed it said like migrating birds. Whatever that means.... we can guess! In a V formation, perhaps ?

So for all that occurred up to that point, a significant chance occurred in the Star Fire practice, there was no more Star Fire, the Anunnaki had departed. A substitute had to be found and here we move in suddenly to a whole new chapter with history and the records, because we are moving into the first drug company (laughing). The substitute had to be found, but it appears that there is nowhere in the records that this appears to be a problem, it's all layed down, it's all predestined. There are a group of trained people, whom the Anunnaki had designated "Master Craftsmen". The first of these trained Master Craftsman, was Cains, he was about a sixth generation descent, a guy called Tublecain. Tublecain was the first Master Craftsman, trained by Anunnaki and the bible tells us he was the greatest metallurgist. An art master in kinds of metals.

The principal of the Master Craftsman was absolutely straightforward. The records are in no way in any doubt about this. Their job was to manufacture Star Fire, gold of the gods. They had a rule, and the rule was simply this, to make gold, you must take gold. They knew what it meant. It's of particular interest therefore, when we move forward into the New Testament story, we discover that, despite what it says in our modern plain English translation, that Jesus' father Joseph, in the early Greek editions of the New Testament, tells us that he was a Master Craftsman. Jesus' father Joseph was a Master Craftsman! What happens when it gets translated into English? Well they obviously thought, what the hell is a Craftsman, Carpenter or something I suppose, so now they make him a Carpenter. So Joseph becomes a Carpenter, when he's actually called a Master Craftsman. A great and skilled metallurgist. Not a wood worker at all.

In the Old Testament book of Exodus, if we revert there, we go back to the time of Moses, Jehovah's now gone, Enlil's gone, Enki's gone, the great Lord is now in charge of everything he holds the tablets of destiny. These are the tablets of cosmic knowledge left by the Anunnaki. He appoints the son of the very Ben Hur, to build the tabernacle and the Ark of the Covenant. He was, it says, a Master Craftsman. He was in charge of constructing the Ark of the Covenant and the tabernacle. In detailing how he should manufacture various crowns and rings and bowls and candle sticks and golden things galore, it tells us also that amongst the constructions was the making of Shew Bread.

Without any explanation the deed is done. We have him making the bowl, and the candle stick, and the shew bread, and everything else; but nobody tells us what Shew Bread actually is. It's actually said to be the shew bread of the covenant, that's its description, the Shew Bread of the Covenant. It's identified with the word Covenant, the word covenant is identified these days with contractual agreements, with legal documents, but actually it meant "to eat bread with". The Lords prayer which was established much about that time, and resurrection later on in Egyptian times, makes the point "give us this day, our daily bread".

It is taken to mean sustenance of one sort, but in actual fact it was very specifically related to the shew bread of the covenant. The shew bread which in fact was one of the constituent followers of Star Fire. In the book of Leviticus takes us back again to Shew Bread and it was specified by name this time and it says "take the white flour and bake the cakes there of, put pure frankincense on each row". Now the word flour that we have today is actually a misnomer, because later on in the book it actually used the word powder, and if ones goes back to the original text, the same word was used each time. For some reason or another they decided to tell us flour, because we're talking about making bread. In both occasions the word is Powder. So they're taking this powder, they're putting frankincense on it after they've made it, their making Star Fire substitute.

The Mystery School records tells us more precisely that this white powder was gold. So suddenly not only is red, black and red gold, but now white is gold. This is pretty significant because in the

Book of Exodus it tells us that Moses took the Golden Calf which the Israelites had made, burnt it in the fire, ground it to a powder, cast it upon the water and gave it to the children of Israel to drink. This is when the word powder is used correctly. But firing gold? You put it into the fire and produce white powder, firing gold does not produce powder, firing gold produces molten gold. So what was this magical powder that was feed to the Israelites? Is there a way of transforming metallic gold into a white powder, like Moses was said to have done?

Well in actual fact there is. Because to make gold it is said you must take gold, and white powder is what it said was gold, and the starting point for gold is gold. So to make the white powder you begin with gold and the story goes round in a circle and we move into a particular avenue of early alchemical practice. Gold is noble they said, gold is truth. Through the regular use of the Anunnaki Star Fire, which in itself was called the gold of the gods. The recipients were said to have moved into the realms of heightened awareness, consciousness, because of the inherent melatonin and serotonin. This was the realm of the vast enlightenment which they called the Plane of Sharon, the plane of the orbit of light, and the Star Fire was said to be the root to the light.

So this mundane heavy darkness can be transferred into white, into gold, and this became the root of alchemical practice thereafter. Shew Bread, it was the food of the Egyptian Messiah's, and this is quite interesting to see this word coming up in Dragon Court records even the Egyptian Messiah's. We associate Messiahs with the Hebrew line, not with the Egyptian line, which in actual fact they're called them messasihs. Because they were anointed with the Mesa, they were the Dragons. And so suddenly we're beginning to see that the David line does come back out of Egypt. It doesn't come straight from Abraham in the line that we are told. We can forget just about everybody that the Bible tells us between Abraham and David. The line goes out and comes back in again.

Another interesting reference to the Watchers was found in the same cave as the books of Enoch, but was not known until 1992, when two Biblical scholars, Robert Eisenman and Michael Wise, published their book *The Dead Sea Scrolls Uncovered.*

Among the 50 documents released for the first time in this book is a reference to the Watchers that is unique, for it provides one of the few physical descriptions of them. The text, called "The Testament of Amram," describes the experience of a person named Amram in which "an angel and a demon" were wrestling over his soul: "[I saw Watchers] in my vision, the dream-vision. Two [men] were fighting over me. I asked them, 'who are you, that you are thus empowered over me?' They answered me, 'We [have been em]powered and rule over all mankind.' They said to me, 'Which of us do you] choose to rule [you]?' I raised my eyes and looked. [One] of them was terrifying in his appearance, [like a serpent, [his] cloak] many colored yet very dark...[And I looked again], and ... in his appearance, his visage like a viper ... [I replied to him,] 'This [watcher,] who is he?' He answered me, 'This Watcher,] ... [and his three names are Belial and Prince of Darkness] and King of Evil.'"

What makes this testament even more intriguing is the fact that this little-known character named Amram is quite an important personage. Amram, it turns out, was the father of one of the most famous contactees in history, the man who delivered the Jews from slavery in Egypt. This person is, of course, none other than Moses!

EXTRATERRESTRIAL REPTILE GODS

If that were the only reference to reptilian beings in ancient texts it could easily be dismissed, but the stories of such creatures date back to the earliest human writings, those of Sumer (meaning "land of the guardians"), Babylon (meaning "gate of the gods"), and other civilizations of ancient Mesopotamia. Among the thousands of clay tablets that have been recovered and translated dating from the earliest recorded history, are documents that record events dating back 240,000 years. One of these, the "Sumerian King" list, tells the story of the god, An, chief god of an extraterrestrial race called the Annunaki, and his sons, Enki and Enlil. It is clear from the texts and the illustrations left by the Sumerians that at least some of these "gods," including Enki (also known as EA), were reptilian in appearance. It is Enki who gave the first people the fruit of the tree of knowledge and who later saved humanity by warning a Noah like figure of the great flood.

These stories are so similar to the Old Testament that one can only conclude that the Old Testament authors borrowed heavily from them. In the Sumerian tale, Enki is given the task of creating a worker force to help the Anunnaki till the soil and mine the ore for which they came to earth. This he does through considerable trial and error, in the process creating strange creatures. The legend implies that Enki possessed a highly advanced technology that included the capability of genetically altering the indigenous species. Using a mysterious process to create a claylike substance, he was able to "bind upon it the image of the gods," indicating that Enki used Anunnaki genes to create a hybrid species. It also implies that some of the early humans may have had a reptilian appearance.

(In the Bible, it was the hybrid race of giants known as the Nephilim that God wished to destroy.) Finally, in both stories, the survivors of the disaster start anew, eventually evolving into humans.

Other ancient texts bear out this disturbing conclusion. In 1945, in a small town in Egypt, a clay jar was found bearing ancient scrolls similar to the Dead Sea Scrolls. These are known as the Nag Hammadi texts, named after the town where they were found. They tell the story of human creation this way: The bodies of Adam and Eve were overlaid with a horny skin that was bright as daylight, like a luminescent garment. Thus, it seems, they didn't need clothing. Further, these texts tell a far different story about the tree of knowledge than that told in Genesis: "She took some of its fruit and ate, and she gave to her husband also...then their minds opened. For when they ate, the light of knowledge shone for them. When they saw their makers, they loathed them since they were beastly forms. They understood very much."

In another ancient Jewish document, known as the Haggadah, it is made clear that the serpent was not merely a snake: "Among the animals, the serpent was notable. Of all of them, he had

the most excellent qualities, in some of which he resembled man. Like man, he stood upright on two feet, and in height he was equal to the camel....His superior mental gifts caused him to become an infidel. It likewise explains his envy of man, especially his conjugal visits....In punishment for tempting Eve, God said 'I created you to be king over all the animals...but you were not satisfied....I created you of upright posture...therefore you shall go upon your belly.'"

These tantalizing clues from the dim past seem to give at least some support for the idea that today's UFO occupants, reptilian or otherwise, are exactly who they say they are--the ancient guardians of humankind. If that is so, then the theory that UFOs are piloted by aliens from other planets must be carefully re-evaluated. But whatever the answer is, it is clear that UFO researchers have their hands full in dealing with this enduring and perplexing mystery.

When they wrote the first testament, there were many copies of it around, they had to keep the main parts but somehow tweak it just enough that they could tweak it after older copies and older people didn't exist any more, the beginning of the bible was not how they wanted it to be understood, but if they went way out of line with it, they would be creating something new instead of expanding ancient belief. Just like the rewriters of history now, they'll talk about one correct subject most conflicting people don't have a major problem with, keep the places people things, while keeping off the issue to let it just be the new norm, for example: Cain was born, but he wasn't born of Adam's seed, he was demon born from reptiles hiding their race it the people who were sick of them calling themselves 'their God's. Once Mesopotamia fell to men, they were already into there our look alike clan called the cainittes, kenites,

Samuel Huntington (H) *assumed the office of president when the Articles of Confederation were ratified March 1, 1781, thereby becoming the first U.S. president to serve in office. On July 10, 1781, Thomas McKean (A) was elected and accepted, becoming the second president of the United States in Congress Assembled. John Hanson (G) was the third president of the United States in Congress Assembled. Hanson was the first president to serve the prescribed full one-year term (1781-82) under the Articles of Confederation. The first president of the Continental Congress of the United Colonies of America was Peyton Randolph (F). The first president of the Continental Congress of the United States of America was John Hancock. Hancock (C) served from July 2, 1776 to October 29, 1777. Here Hancock is shown signing the*

Declaration of Independence. (H) The first president of the United States in Congress Assembled was Samuel Huntington. John Jay (B) was chosen by his peers to succeed Henry Laurens (D) as president of the United States—serving a term from December 10, 1778 to September 27, 1779. Laurens served from November 1, 1777 to December 9, 1778. Cyrus Griffin (E) was the 10th president of the United States in Congress Assembled, serving from January 22, 1788 to March 4, 1789.

President James Garfield knew how the economy was being manipulated. As a congressman he had been chairman of the appropriations committee and a member of banking and currency. After his inauguration in 1881 he publicly exposed the moneylenders' conduct in a passionate indictment: "Whosoever controls the volume of money in any country is absolute master of all industry and commerce. . . . And when you realize that the entire system is very easily controlled, one way or another, by a few powerful men at the top, you will not have to be told how periods of inflation and depression originate." Within a few weeks of making this statement Garfield was assassinated by a "lone nut," on July 2, 1881.

Northern Michigan is, even today, one of the world's richest sources of pure copper. But in ancient times—long before Christopher Columbus—someone was removing thousands of tons of this metal and taking it to some place outside of North America. In all probability, the destination of the metal was Europe and the Old World, where much of it was converted into bronze for weapons and tools.

All of this was done under the "incompetent" reign of the "naive" and "weak" Nicholas II and the "tyrannical" Alexander III, and with a Russian population that was, according to nearly all the mainstream work on Russian history to date, "backward," "illiterate," "lazy," "stupid" and "superstitious." There is little question that, in spite of English-language histories of Russia, Imperial Russia, during this time, was likely the best run state in Europe—one without the "benefit" of republican politics or capitalist economics.

They need to answer how the massive, and often very liberal, literary production in 19th-century

Russia is compatible with this. This was the age of Chekhov, Turgenev, Gorky, Balmont and Gumilev. Why was Tolstoy not arrested? Or why was it that Lenin's newspaper *Pravda* was freely published and distributed in St. Petersburg under Nicholas II and his "tyranny"? Not only *Pravda*, but 12 daily newspapers were published by agents of the St. Petersburg Soviet.

One of the worst famines in recent Russian history occurred in 1891 and 1892. Without these events, there would have been no unrest. The rebellion had nothing to do with monarchy or even government policy, but bad harvests and bad foreign policy decisions.

During this famous revolt, when Cossacks and alienated peasants would ransack the landlords' possessions, they were heard to exclaim: "Our Holy Father [the czar] commands us to!" It was the czars that enforced land redistribution over the heads of the reluctant (to say the least) noble class. The only reason he could carry out such a program was that he was an autocrat. In America, slavery was not abolished until after a massive civil war; in Russia, serfdom was ended by an autocratic stroke of a pen.

Russia was just beginning her economic expansion into world markets. There can be no question that the refusal of the Romanovs to set up a central bank under the rule of the global financial elite marked them for extinction. Imperial Russia was the only major European power who refused to set up a central bank, though the Bolsheviks willingly obliged.

Justice John Marshall is still hailed as "the greatest

chief justice." Not noted for his legal skills, he did not have a formal degree in law. His only formal education was attendance at some lectures on law for a few months. Nevertheless, he was granted a law degree in 1788. Either formal qualifications were viewed loosely then or, as an obedient Federalist believing in a strong central government, he may have been given a mission

— Lyndon Johnson wanted a war in Vietnam. He wanted it to help his friends who owned defense companies to do a little business. He needed it to get the Pentagon and CIA to quit trying to invade Cuba. And most of all, he needed a provocation to convince the American people that there was really "no other choice." On August 5, 1964, newspapers across America reported "renewed attacks" against American destroyers operating in Vietnamese waters, specifically the Gulf of Tonkin. The official story

was that North Vietnamese torpedo boats launched an "unprovoked attack" on the *USS Maddox* while it was on "routine patrol." The truth is that *USS Maddox* was involved in aggressive intelligence gathering in coordination with actual attacks by South Vietnam and the Laotian air force against targets in North Vietnam. The truth is also that there was no attack by torpedo boats against the *USS Maddox*. Capt. John J. Herrick, the task force commander in the Gulf, cabled Washington D.C. that the report was the result of an "over eager" sonar man who had picked up the sounds of his own ship's screws and panicked. But even with this knowledge that the report was false, Lyndon Johnson went on national TV that night to announce the commencement of air strikes against North Vietnam, "retaliation" for an attack that had never occurred.

_ Is it just us, or do others find it odd that in Dwight Eisenhower's *Crusade in Europe*, Winston Churchill's *The Second World War* or Charles De Gaulle's *Memoires de Guerre*, there is not one single solitary mention of gas chambers killing millions of Jews?

_ Additionally, in the June 27, 1998 issue of *Science News*, it has been discovered that ancient Mesopotamians developed a means whereby rock was manufactured from silt. They had developed a synthetic basalt that was melted along with the silt at temperatures of around 1,200 degrees centigrade. Of course, such high levels of technology were not supposed to exist in ancient societies. This quite harshly calls Enlightenment assumptions about the origin of man and his relation to nature into question.

__ It was about 75 B.C. in the rugged hills near Coracesium in Cilicia, an untamed region along the coast of southwestern Asia Minor, and the Cilician pirates, possibly the most successful race of brigands the world has ever seen, were surrendering to the Roman general Pompey.

Pompeius Magnus, as he was afterwards styled, would go on to conquer the Levant and to challenge Julius Caesar for supremacy over the fledgling Roman Empire, but his lightning-swift campaign against the Cilician pirates was perhaps his finest moment. The pirates, taking advantage of Roman naval weakness during a span of decades that saw Rome wracked by civil war, had controlled much of the Mediterranean, as far west as the Balearic Islands.* Now, thanks to Pompey's masterful combination of resolute military action and unconditional clemency for all pirates who surrendered to him in person, the once-feared Cilicians were admitted to the Roman Empire and given the opportunity to live respectable lives. Most, according to Plutarch's account of events, accepted Pompey's offer. They were resettled in various parts of the Roman dominion, bringing their families and possessions with them. They also, according to Plutarch, brought with them a peculiar system of religious beliefs and practices, one of the so-called "mystery

cults” typical of the pre-Christian Mediterranean.

_ No one knows the precise origins of the cult devoted to the Persian deity Mithras, which came to be known as the Mithraic mysteries or Mithraism. Plutarch says only that the Cilician pirates “offered strange sacrifices upon Mount Olympus, and performed secret rites or religious mysteries, among which those of Mithras have been performed to our own time [i.e., the second century A.D., roughly two centuries after Pompey’s time], having received their previous institution from them.” It is also possible that the mysteries of Mithras, like certain other mystery cults in Roman dominions, were popularized by the mysterious “Chaldeans,” itinerant sorcerers from the East who were periodically expelled from Roman territory for encouraging the formation of subversive cultic secret societies.

From Persian Antiquity

The name of Mithras is the Latinized equivalent of Mithra, an important deity in Persian Zoroastrianism. This god was worshipped far back into remotest antiquity by the ancestors of the Persians and Indians alike (in the Vedic Hymns of ancient India, he is known as Mitra, ‘the friend’). To the Persians, he was the god of oaths and covenants, and was worshipped far and wide across central Asia and the Middle East, from Armenia to the empire of Kushan in modern-day Afghanistan.

__ One particular mystery cult — that of Bacchus, the god of wine and revelry — acquired a sinister reputation in Rome in the second century B.C. Introduced by a mysterious Greek immigrant, the cult of Bacchus allegedly practiced human sacrifices and all manner of debauchery at its secret nighttime orgies. And the cult sought not only to corrupt Roman morals but also to take control of Roman government. “Never,” said Spurius Postumius, the Roman consul who first exposed the cult of Bacchus before the Roman Senate in 186 B.C., “has there been so much wickedness in this commonwealth, never wickedness affecting so many people, nor manifesting itself in so many ways.... Their [the Bacchan votaries’] impious conspiracy still confines itself to private outrages, because it has not yet strength enough to overthrow the state. But the evil grows with every passing day.... It aims at the supreme power of the state.” Fortunately for Rome, the Senate heeded Postumius’ warning and suppressed the cult of Bacchus. But the episode showed the potency of cultic secret societies, and their potential, at least in the ancient Mediterranean world, to unravel moral fabric and even threaten the integrity of the state.

The mystery cult of Mithras appears to have been structurally a Roman innovation, using certain features of Persian religion and mythology for its own purposes. Unfortunately, we know little about its growth or operations until more than a century after Pompey. Not until the ascendancy of Nero does the name Mithra reappear in Rome.

One of the accomplishments of Rome’s evildest emperor was to bring the Armenian king Tiridates to Rome for his coronation. As Tiridates prostrated himself before the Roman emperor, he informed Nero that he would worship him as he worshipped the great god Mithra (in a stroke of historical irony, a later Armenian king of the same name, Tiridates the Great, converted to Christianity and was responsible for Armenia’s becoming the first

state to embrace the new religion). Nero in his turn is supposed to have expressed great interest in being inducted into the mysteries of Zoroastrianism by the magi who accompanied Tiridates to Rome. Whether the Armenian king was an adherent of the mystery cult per se, or whether Nero became himself a devotee, is impossible to prove. But the episode suggests that, at very minimum, the worship of Mithra was a familiar concept in Rome by the mid-first century A.D.

What the archaeological record bears out is that Mithraism was becoming widespread in the Roman Empire by the end of the first century of our era. The earliest Mithraic temples, or mithraea, of which we have record date from roughly 90 to 110 A.D., in the German provinces. The mysteries of Mithras must have been well-established by that time in the Roman heartland, the Italian peninsula. By the middle of the second century, the cult had spread throughout Roman territory, from the Middle East to the British Isles, displaying a vitality that only the young Christian faith could match.

— The cult of Mithras seems to have begun in the Roman military, eventually becoming a cult not only of Roman legionaries, but of merchants and government officials as well. Nero was the first Roman emperor whose name was associated with the god Mithras, but he was far from the last.

The turning point for Mithraism, which apparently enjoyed at least a measure of tolerance from the Roman government from its inception, was the administration of the emperor Commodus, the bestial son of Marcus Aurelius, who reigned from 180 to 190 A.D. Commodus is remembered chiefly for his savagery and many perversions, exceptional even by the standards of Roman emperors. Possessed of none of the equanimity and wisdom of his father nor of the saintly virtues of his grandfather, the aptly named Pius Antoninus, Commodus managed to undo in a few short, blood-soaked years much of the progress logged by Roman civilization during the previous several generations of comparative peace and progress. He was also the first Roman emperor of record to have been a full-blown initiate into the mysteries of Mithras. Commodus, says Franz Cumont, a pioneer in modern Mithraic studies, “was admitted among their adepts and participated in their secret ceremonies, and the discovery of numerous votive inscriptions, either for the welfare of this prince or bearing the date of his reign, gives us some ink-ling of the impetus which this imperial conversion imparted to the Mithraic propaganda. After the last of the Antonine emperors had thus broken with the ancient prejudice, the protection of his successors appears to have been definitely assured to the new religion.”

While we have no details of how Commodus’ involvement in the secret cult may have influenced his policymaking, his association with Mithras set a disturbing precedent — namely, that most emperors associated with the cult displayed more than ordinary levels of brutality and depravity, and a peculiar animus for Christianity.

— While we have no details of how Commodus’ involvement in the secret cult may have influenced his policymaking, his association with Mithras set a disturbing precedent — namely, that most emperors associated with the cult displayed more than ordinary levels of brutality and depravity, and a peculiar animus for Christianity.

Of the beliefs and rituals of Mithraism, we know very little, despite the abundant archaeological evidence. Mithraism has left us no religious texts comparable to, say, the epistles of Paul, the Talmud, or the patristic writings. We do know that it was a secret religious society to which only men were admitted. According to St. Jerome, there were seven initiatory grades in Mithraism, beginning with Corax (raven). The others, from lowest to highest, were Nymphus (bridegroom), Miles (soldier), Leo (lion), Perses (Persian), Heliodromus (sun-runner), and Pater (father). Of these the first two appear to have been preparatory levels, and induction into the rank of Miles was the real starting point for progression within the Mithraic hierarchy.

___ Mithraism had a belief system characterized by vivid myths and maddeningly obscure symbolism. A typical mithraeum, an underground house of worship used by devotees of Mithras, was constructed to resemble a world-cavern, a metaphor of the cosmos favored in the ancient Middle East. The dominant feature of every mithraeum was a depiction, usually carved in stone, of the central myth of Mithras: the tauroctony or slaying of the bull of heaven. Mithras is depicted as a youthful hero wearing a characteristic Phrygian cap, killing the bull with a dagger. Surrounding and harassing the hapless bull are a dog, scorpion, raven, and snake, while flanking the scene stand two other youths holding torches (dadophori), Cautes and Cautopates, of whom the latter holds his torch with the tip pointed earthward.

Devilish Details

The precise meaning of this remarkable tableau is still disputed; nowhere in the Persian Zoroastrian canon as we have received it is there any reference to Mithra slaying a bull. Cumont perceived in Cautes and Cautopates, with their opposing torches, an allusion to the radical dualism of the Zoroastrian faith, the notion that good and evil must be regarded as opposite and completely equal forces.

___ In the vivid paintings on the walls of the well-preserved mithraeum at Dura-Europos in Syria, there are also images of Mithras as an equestrian hunter — the famous “mighty hunter” motif associated with Mesopotamian monarchs and gods since time immemorial — and of Mithras forging his pact with the sun god Sol, whence Mithras’ most famous epithet, “Sol Invictus,” or “Unconquerable Sun.”

The other characteristic piece of Mithraic iconography, the so-called leontocephalous or lion-headed deity entwined with serpents, is easier to interpret. This terrifying figure, displayed in many surviving mithraea, has been identified with the Greek Kronos and Egyptian Kore, the god of time, but in several mithraea, this idol is captioned “Deus Arimanius.” Arimanius is the Latin form of Persian Ahriman, meaning “evil spirit.” Ahriman was the Satan of Zoroastrianism, and his presence in Mithraic sancta speaks volumes about the real nature of this mystery cult. Moreover, in the traditional Zoroastrian religion, it is Ahriman — not Mithra — who, according to historian of religion Yuri Stoyanov, “brings death to the ‘Lone-Created’ bull in the violent act of the first ‘creative murder’ which sparked off the cycle of being and generation.” In Stoyanov’s view:

The Mithraic *Deus Arimanius* is thus taken to show that Roman Mithraism derived from pre-Zoroastrian and later forbidden *daevic* [i.e., diabolical] forms of Mithra-worship which were associated with the dreaded ‘mystery of the sorcerers’ and which were sustained in Mesopotamia and Asia Minor.

___ From images in a Mithraic grotto at modern-day Capua, we learn that initiates were blindfolded and subjected to various severe trials by a *mystagogus* in a white tunic. It appears, from the testimony of Tertullian, that initiates underwent various purification ceremonies, swore oaths of secrecy, and received brands on the hands or forehead betokening their membership in the order. According to M. J. Vermaseren, “on several [ancient] portraits, even on portraits of emperors, these tattoo marks are clearly visible, but on the forehead, in place of the hands.”

_____ A much more abominable practice, human sacrifice, may have been associated with Mithraism as well, though few modern researchers, aside from the scrupulous Vermaseren, bother to acknowledge it. The Christian historian Socrates, in the fourth or fifth century, alleged that Greeks in Alexandria “killed men” while celebrating the mysteries, despite the fact that human sacrifice had been explicitly forbidden in Roman dominions since at least the time of Tiberius. At a mithraeum in Saargemünd, the skeleton of a man between 30 and 40 years old was discovered lying face downwards with his wrists manacled behind his back with an iron chain — very likely a Mithraic sacrificial victim. Human sacrifices to Mithras have also been ascribed — although never proven — to two Roman emperors and Mithras devotees, Commodus and Julian.

The proud Roman general stood with his commanders and retinue as the wild hillsmen, dressed in the ragged but still-flamboyant clothes of corsairs, fell before him in turn, begging for clemency. It was about 75 B.C. in the rugged hills near Coracesium in Cilicia, an untamed region along the coast of southwestern Asia Minor, and the Cilician pirates, possibly the most successful race of brigands the world has ever seen, were surrendering to the Roman general Pompey.

Pompeius Magnus, as he was afterwards styled, would go on to conquer the Levant and to challenge Julius Caesar for supremacy over the fledgling Roman Empire, but his lightning-swift campaign against the Cilician pirates was perhaps his finest moment. The pirates, taking advantage of Roman naval weakness during a span of decades that saw Rome wracked by civil war, had controlled much of the Mediterranean, as far west as the Balearic Islands.* Now, thanks to Pompey’s masterful combination of resolute military action and unconditional clemency for all pirates who surrendered to him in person, the once-feared Cilicians were admitted to the Roman Empire and given the opportunity to live respectable lives. Most, according to Plutarch’s account of events, accepted Pompey’s offer. They were resettled in various parts of the Roman dominion, bringing their families and possessions with them. They also, according to Plutarch, brought with them a peculiar system of religious beliefs and practices, one of the so-called “mystery cults” typical of the pre-Christian Mediterranean.

The cult of the Mithras was doubtless regarded at first as just another Oriental import, a product of Mediterranean multi-culturalism. But it grew into the most formidable occult

secret society in the ancient world, claiming emperors and legionaries alike in its membership. At the peak of its power and influence — when it held hostage the very machinery of empire — it threatened to fling the Roman world back to its pagan roots and to eradicate the young Christian faith.

No one knows the precise origins of the cult devoted to the Persian deity Mithras, which came to be known as the Mithraic mysteries or Mithraism. Plutarch says only that the Cilician pirates “offered strange sacrifices upon Mount Olympus, and performed secret rites or religious mysteries, among which those of Mithras have been performed to our own time [i.e., the second century A.D., roughly two centuries after Pompey’s time], having received their previous institution from them.” It is also possible that the mysteries of Mithras, like certain other mystery cults in Roman dominions, were popularized by the mysterious “Chaldeans,” itinerant sorcerers from the East who were periodically expelled from Roman territory for encouraging the formation of subversive cultic secret societies.

From Persian Antiquity

The name of Mithras is the Latinized equivalent of Mithra, an important deity in Persian Zoroastrianism. This god was worshipped far back into remotest antiquity by the ancestors of the Persians and Indians alike (in the Vedic Hymns of ancient India, he is known as Mitra, ‘the friend’). To the Persians, he was the god of oaths and covenants, and was worshipped far and wide across central Asia and the Middle East, from Armenia to the empire of Kushan in modern-day Afghanistan.

The mystery cult, meanwhile, was a distinctively Mediterranean form of religious worship, a cult within a cult, as it were, in which esoteric beliefs withheld from the general populace were taught and secret rites performed. Among the ancient Greeks, the mysteries of Eleusis or Demeter proved most enduringly popular, while in Egypt, the mysteries of Isis reigned supreme. On Asia Minor the mysteries of Cybele, a goddess popular with the Phrygians, flourished.

One particular mystery cult — that of Bacchus, the god of wine and revelry — acquired a sinister reputation in Rome in the second century B.C. Introduced by a mysterious Greek immigrant, the cult of Bacchus allegedly practiced human sacrifices and all manner of debauchery at its secret nighttime orgies. And the cult sought not only to corrupt Roman morals but also to take control of Roman government. “Never,” said Spurius Postumius, the Roman consul who first exposed the cult of Bacchus before the Roman Senate in 186 B.C., “has there been so much wickedness in this commonwealth, never wickedness affecting so many people, nor manifesting itself in so many ways.... Their [the Bacchan votaries’] impious conspiracy still confines itself to private outrages, because it has not yet strength enough to overthrow the state. But the evil grows with every passing day.... It aims at the supreme power of the state.” Fortunately for Rome, the Senate heeded Postumius’ warning and suppressed the cult of Bacchus. But the episode showed the potency of cultic secret societies, and their potential, at least in the ancient Mediterranean world, to unravel moral fabric and even threaten the integrity of the state.

The mystery cult of Mithras appears to have been structurally a Roman innovation, using certain features of Persian religion and mythology for its own purposes. Unfortunately, we know little about its growth or operations until more than a century after Pompey. Not until the ascendancy of Nero does the name Mithra reappear in Rome.

One of the accomplishments of Rome's evilest emperor was to bring the Armenian king Tiridates to Rome for his coronation. As Tiridates prostrated himself before the Roman emperor, he informed Nero that he would worship him as he worshipped the great god Mithra (in a stroke of historical irony, a later Armenian king of the same name, Tiridates the Great, converted to Christianity and was responsible for Armenia's becoming the first state to embrace the new religion). Nero in his turn is supposed to have expressed great interest in being inducted into the mysteries of Zoroastrianism by the magi who accompanied Tiridates to Rome. Whether the Armenian king was an adherent of the mystery cult per se, or whether Nero became himself a devotee, is impossible to prove. But the episode suggests that, at very minimum, the worship of Mithra was a familiar concept in Rome by the mid-first century A.D.

What the archaeological record bears out is that Mithraism was becoming widespread in the Roman Empire by the end of the first century of our era. The earliest Mithraic temples, or mithraea, of which we have record date from roughly 90 to 110 A.D., in the German provinces. The mysteries of Mithras must have been well-established by that time in the Roman heartland, the Italian peninsula. By the middle of the second century, the cult had spread throughout Roman territory, from the Middle East to the British Isles, displaying a vitality that only the young Christian faith could match.

Imperial Sponsors

The cult of Mithras seems to have begun in the Roman military, eventually becoming a cult not only of Roman legionaries, but of merchants and government officials as well. Nero was the first Roman emperor whose name was associated with the god Mithras, but he was far from the last.

The turning point for Mithraism, which apparently enjoyed at least a measure of tolerance from the Roman government from its inception, was the administration of the emperor Commodus, the bestial son of Marcus Aurelius, who reigned from 180 to 190 A.D. Commodus is remembered chiefly for his savagery and many perversions, exceptional even by the standards of Roman emperors. Possessed of none of the equanimity and wisdom of his father nor of the saintly virtues of his grandfather, the aptly named Pius Antoninus, Commodus managed to undo in a few short, blood-soaked years much of the progress logged by Roman civilization during the previous several generations of comparative peace and progress. He was also the first Roman emperor of record to have been a full-blown initiate into the mysteries of Mithras. Commodus, says Franz Cumont, a pioneer in modern Mithraic studies, "was admitted among their adepts and participated in their secret ceremonies, and the discovery of numerous votive inscriptions, either for the welfare of this prince or bearing the date of his reign, gives us some ink-ling of the impetus which this imperial conversion imparted to the Mithraic propaganda. After the last of the Antonine emperors had thus broken with the ancient prejudice, the protection

of his successors appears to have been definitely assured to the new religion.”

While we have no details of how Commodus’ involvement in the secret cult may have influenced his policymaking, his association with Mithras set a disturbing precedent — namely, that most emperors associated with the cult displayed more than ordinary levels of brutality and depravity, and a peculiar animus for Christianity.

Of the beliefs and rituals of Mithraism, we know very little, despite the abundant archaeological evidence. Mithraism has left us no religious texts comparable to, say, the epistles of Paul, the Talmud, or the patristic writings. We do know that it was a secret religious society to which only men were admitted. According to St. Jerome, there were seven initiatory grades in Mithraism, beginning with Corax (raven). The others, from lowest to highest, were Nymphus (bridegroom), Miles (soldier), Leo (lion), Perses (Persian), Heliodromus (sun-runner), and Pater (father). Of these the first two appear to have been preparatory levels, and induction into the rank of Miles was the real starting point for progression within the Mithraic hierarchy.

Mithraism had a belief system characterized by vivid myths and maddeningly obscure symbolism. A typical mithraeum, an underground house of worship used by devotees of Mithras, was constructed to resemble a world-cavern, a metaphor of the cosmos favored in the ancient Middle East. The dominant feature of every mithraeum was a depiction, usually carved in stone, of the central myth of Mithras: the tauroctony or slaying of the bull of heaven. Mithras is depicted as a youthful hero wearing a characteristic Phrygian cap, killing the bull with a dagger. Surrounding and harassing the hapless bull are a dog, scorpion, raven, and snake, while flanking the scene stand two other youths holding torches (dadophori), Cautes and Cautopates, of whom the latter holds his torch with the tip pointed earthward.

Son of God vs. “Sun God”

It appears that, from the time of Commodus onward, nearly every Roman emperor was associated with the cult of Mithras in some way. In the early third century, a shrine to Mithras was built on the grounds of the palace of the Augusti. Elagabalus, another exceptionally depraved ruler in the tradition of Nero and Commodus, replaced Jupiter with the Unconquerable Sun, Deus Sol Invictus, as the head of the Roman pantheon, while Aurelian instituted an imperial cult dedicated to the same god. Diocletian, Licinius, and Galerius dedicated a temple to Mithras in Carnuntum in 307 A.D.; it was Galerius, moreover, who instituted one of the greatest persecutions against the Christians, because of his well-documented zeal for pagan tradition and worship. Constantine the Great, too, was at least associated with the cult of the Unconquerable Sun/Mithras, though whether he was an initiate into the mysteries is unclear. Rome’s last pagan emperor, Julian, was inducted into the mysteries of Mithras while yet in his teens by Maximus of Ephesus, and in his efforts to suppress Christianity and to restore paganism to full flower, compelled the citizens at Constantinople — by then the capital of the empire — to worship Mithras.

The early Christian church regarded the cult of Mithras as its mortal enemy. The mythology and liturgy of Mithraism were held up as deliberate mockeries of Christian

doctrine and sacraments. The youthful hero-god Mithras was believed to be a caricature of Jesus Christ, while Mithraic initiatory rites were considered counterfeit baptisms. It is possibly Mithraism that the author of the Book of Revelation had in mind in associating the harlot of Babylon with “mystery,” and the Antichrist with the infamous “mark of the beast” (which mark, analogous to the brands inflicted on Mithraic initiates, was to be placed on the right hand or on the forehead). Whatever the case, there is every likelihood that, had not Constantine and all of his successors save Julian not chosen to adopt Christianity, the Western world might very well have become Mithraic instead.

The demise of Mithraism was as shrouded in obscurity as its origins. After the death of Julian during his unsuccessful campaign in Persia, the recrudescing mysteries of Mithras were swiftly suppressed. Julian’s Mithraic preceptor Maximus was put to death along with other unrepentant votaries of Mithras, but whether the cult managed to disappear underground or otherwise reinvent itself and persist in some other guise is unknown. Some have suggested a continuity of tradition between Mithraism and Manichaeism, the so-called “religion of light” founded by the Persian heretic Mani and promulgated across much of the Orient. Others have found in the underground heresies of Medieval Europe, especially the Paulicians and the Bogomils, lineal successors to Mithraism and the other mystery cults.

Whatever its final fate, the cult of Mithras is perhaps the best-documented instance of a cult of empire, a secret, oath-bound society of elites that, for several centuries, was the power behind the throne of the mightiest realm the world had ever seen. It was apparently the mysteries of Mithras that gave cohesion to Rome’s far-flung legions and ideological grounding for the autocratic behavior of her emperors. If the emperor was the embodiment of the Unconquerable Sun, who could pretend to stand against him? From what little we have been able to glean of the religion of Mithras and of its most prominent imperial adherents, we are fortunate that this last and greatest of the ancient mystery cults did not carry the day in its epic struggle with Christianity for the hearts and minds of Rome.

* See “Fear & Fatal Power” by Joe Wolverton II in the May 24, 2010 issue of TNA.

Strange Coincidence?

President James Garfield knew how the economy was being manipulated. As a congressman he had been chairman of the appropriations committee and a member of banking and currency. After his inauguration in 1881 he publicly exposed the moneylenders’ conduct in a passionate indictment: “Whosoever controls the volume of money in any country is absolute master of all industry and commerce. . . . And when you realize that the entire system is very easily controlled, one way or another, by a few powerful men at the top, you will not have to be told how periods of inflation and depression originate.” Within a few weeks of making this statement Garfield was assassinated by a

"lone nut," on July 2, 1881.

Has this thought even crossed your mind: all the "bad" guys in history were the good guys and because the real bad guys won they wrote the history..

Potocki incorrectly attributed the belligerent American attitude solely to Jewish influence. He failed to realize that President Roosevelt and his entourage considered World War I to have been a great adventure, and that they were bitter about those Americans who continued to adopt a cynical attitude toward American militarism after President Roosevelt's quarantine speech in 1937. President Roosevelt had been one of the few advocating permanent peacetime military conscription in the United States during the complacent 1920's. Such factors were more than sufficient to prompt Roosevelt to adopt an aggressive attitude toward Germany. He had no strong pro-Jewish feelings; he jokingly said at the 1945 Yalta Conference that he would like to give the Arabian leader, Ibn Saud, five million American Jews. The Jewish issue was mainly a convenient pretext to justify official American hostility toward Germany, and to exploit the typical American sympathy for the under-dog in any situation.

Potocki overestimated the Jewish question because of his own intense prejudices against the Jews, which were shared by the entire Polish leadership. He was highly critical of the American Jews. He believed that Jewish influence on American culture and public opinion, which he regarded as unquestionably preponderant, was producing a rapid decline of intellectual standards in the United States. He reported to Warsaw again and again that American public opinion was merely the product of Jewish machinations.

The situation is illustrated by the message which Halifax dispatched to President Roosevelt on January 24, 1939. Halifax claimed to have received "a large number of reports from various reliable sources which throw a most disquieting light on Hitler's mood and intentions." He repeated the tactic he had used with Kennedy about Hitler's allegedly fierce hatred of Great Britain. Halifax believed that Hitler had guessed that Great Britain was "the chief obstacle now to the fulfillment of his further ambitions." It was not really necessary for Hitler to do more than read the record of what Halifax and Chamberlain had said at Rome to recognize that Great Britain was the chief threat to Germany, but it was untrue to suggest that Hitler had modified his goal of Anglo-German cooperation in peace and friendship.

Halifax developed his theme with increasing warmth. He claimed that Hitler had recently planned to establish an independent Ukraine, and that he intended to destroy the Western Powers in a surprise attack before he moved into the East. Not only British intelligence but "highly placed Germans who are anxious to prevent this crime" had furnished evidence of this evil conspiracy. This was a lamentable distortion of what German opposition figures, such as Theo Kordt and Carl Goerdeler, had actually confided to the British during recent months. None of them had suggested that Hitler had the remotest intention of attacking either Great Britain or France.

Roosevelt was informed by Halifax that Hitler might seek to push Italy into war in the Mediterranean to find an excuse to fight. This was the strategy which Halifax himself hoped to adopt by pushing Poland into war with Germany. Halifax added that Hitler

planned to invade Holland, and to offer the Dutch East Indies to Japan. He suggested to Roosevelt that Hitler would present an ultimatum to Great Britain, if he could not use Italy as a pawn to provoke a war. Halifax added casually that the British leaders expected a surprise German attack from the air before the ultimatum arrived. He assured Roosevelt that this surprise attack might occur at any time. He claimed that the Germans were mobilizing for this effort at the very moment he was preparing his report.

The British Foreign Secretary reckoned that Roosevelt might have some doubt about these provocative and mendacious claims. He hastened to top one falsehood with another by claiming that an "economic and financial crisis was facing Germany" which would compel the allegedly bankrupt Germans to adopt these desperate measures. He added with false modesty that some of this "may sound fanciful and even fantastic and His Majesty's Government have no wish to be alarmist."

Halifax feared that he had not yet made his point. He returned to the charge and emphasized "Hitler's mental condition, his insensate rage against Great Britain and his megalomania." He warned Roosevelt that the German underground movement was impotent, and that there would be no revolt in Germany during the initial phase of World War II. He confided that Great Britain was greatly increasing her armament program, and he believed that it was his duty to enlighten Roosevelt about Hitler's alleged intentions and attitudes "in view of the relations of confidence which exist between our two Governments and the degree to which we have exchanged information hitherto." Halifax claimed that Chamberlain was contemplating a public warning to Germany prior to Hitler's annual Reichstag speech on January 30, 1939. This was untrue, but Halifax hoped to goad Roosevelt into making another alarmist and bellicose speech. He suggested that Roosevelt should address a public warning to Germany without delay.

Anthony Eden had been sent to the United States by Halifax, in December 1938, to spread rumors about sinister German plans, and Roosevelt had responded with a provocative and insulting warning to Germany in his message to Congress on January 4, 1939. Halifax hoped that a second performance of this kind would be useful in preparing the basis for the war propaganda with which he hoped to deluge the British public. He did not achieve the desired response to this specific proposal. Secretary of State Hull explained, in what a British diplomat at Washington, D.C., jokingly described as "his most oracular style," that the Administration was blocked in such efforts at the moment by hostile American public opinion. Halifax was comforted on January 27, 1939, when he was informed officially that "the United States Government had for some time been basing their policy upon the possibility of just such a situation arising as was foreshadowed in your telegram." This was another way of saying that the New Deal, which had shot the bolt of its reforms in a futile effort to end the American depression, was counting on the outbreak of a European war.

Halifax learned on January 30, 1939, that leading American "experts" disagreed with a few of the details of his analysis of the Dutch situation. They expected Hitler to mobilize his forces along the Dutch frontier and to demand the surrender of large portions of the Dutch East Indies without firing a shot. The ostensible purpose of this Rooseveltian fantasy would be to "humiliate Great Britain" and to "bribe Japan." This dispatch was not sent on April Fool's Day, and it was intended seriously. It enabled Halifax to see that he had pitched his message accurately to the political perspective of Roosevelt, Hull, and

their advisers. Anyone in their entourage who did not declare that Hitler was hopelessly insane was virtually ostracized. Roosevelt hoped to have a long discussion with Joseph Stalin at Teheran in 1943 about the alleged insanity of Adolf Hitler. He was disappointed when Stalin abruptly ended this phase of the conversation with the blunt comment that Hitler was not insane. It was like telling the naked Emperor that he was wearing no clothes. It was evident to Stalin that Roosevelt was a clever and unscrupulous politician who lacked the qualities of the statesman.

Beck discussed the European situation after his return to Warsaw with American Ambassador Anthony Biddle. Biddle reported to the American State Department on January 10, 1939, that Beck was not enthusiastic about his recent trip to Germany. The most he was willing to say about his conversation with Hitler was that it had been "fairly satisfactory," and that Hitler had promised him that there would be no "surprises." Beck confided to Biddle that Hitler was disappointed about President Roosevelt's address to Congress on January 4, 1939, which had been bitterly hostile toward Germany. Biddle noted that Beck was complacent about Anglo-French relations and concerned about current Polish relations with France. Biddle reported that "Beck emphasized that Poland and France must meet at an early date to clarify their joint and respective positions vis-a-vis Germany. They were now both in the same boat and must face realities." It was evident from the general nature of Beck's remarks that the official Polish attitude was incompatible with the successful negotiation of an agreement with Germany.

American Ambassador Bullitt in Paris reported on January 30, 1939, that he discussed recent German-Polish negotiations with Juliusz Lukasiewicz, the Polish Ambassador. Lukasiewicz admitted that Danzig and the Corridor transit problems had been discussed. He informed Bullitt that Beck had warned Hitler that Poland might act in Ruthenia. Bullitt also discussed general German policy with Lukasiewicz, French Foreign Minister Bonnet, and British Ambassador Sir Eric Phipps. The three men agreed that Hitler would not deliberately make war on any country in 1939. These views were an interesting contrast to the alarmist reports which Halifax had sent to President Roosevelt a few days earlier.

The American diplomats in Europe continued to oppose peace and urge war. Bullitt was disgusted with the failure of Bonnet to encourage Poland with a blank check at Danzig. He continued to warn Roosevelt that the French Foreign Minister was working for peace. Bullitt was delighted at times to find that Bonnet was pessimistic about the chances for peace. He reported with satisfaction on June 28, 1939, that Bonnet could see no way out for Hitler other than war. Biddle at Warsaw gave uncritical support to Polish policy at Danzig. He claimed in a report on July 12, 1939, that Viktor Boettcher, the unofficial Danzig foreign minister and a close personal friend of [League High Commissioner at Danzig] Burckhardt, had become openly aggressive and was no longer a "repressed imperialist." Biddle failed to explain why a man who desired the reunion of his native city with his native country, according to the wishes of the vast majority of both parties, was an imperialist.

By the beginning of August, tensions between Germany and Poland are at the boiling point. The anti-German incidents have continued unabated. Thousands of ethnic German

refugees flee Poland and are sheltered by Germany. Marshal Smigly-Rydz is more bellicose than ever. The Polish government engages in provocations and takes economic reprisals at Danzig. On August 4th, a Polish ultimatum is presented to the Danzig Senate, notifying it that the frontiers of Danzig will be closed to the importation of all foreign food products unless the Danzig government promises that it will not interfere with the activities of Polish customs inspectors. Since the Danzig populace depends in the main on food from the outside to survive, this is a formidable threat. Germany is outraged.

Roosevelt Responds to the Crisis of Early August

American Ambassador Bullitt at Paris informed President Roosevelt on August 3, 1939, that Beck was predicting that an intense and decisive phase of the crisis between Germany and Poland might occur before August 15, 1939. President Roosevelt knew that Poland was obviously to blame for the crisis which began at Danzig on August 4th, and he was alarmed at the prospect that the American public might learn the truth about the situation. This could be a decisive factor in discouraging his program for American military intervention in Europe. He instructed Under-Secretary Sumner Welles on August 11, 1939, to order American Ambassador Biddle to advise the Poles about this problem. President Roosevelt urged the Poles to be more clever in making it appear that German moves were responsible for any inevitable explosion at Danzig.

The response of Beck to American intervention was not encouraging. Biddle reported to President Roosevelt, at midnight on August 11th, that the Polish Government had decided that there could be absolutely no concessions to Germany. Beck was obviously unwilling to engage in a series of elaborate but empty maneuvers which might have been useful in deceiving the American public. Beck wished the American President to know that he was content at the moment to have full British support for his policy. Beck showed Biddle a report from Polish Ambassador Raczynski at London on August 13, 1939. The report contained the explicit approval of Halifax for recent Polish measures at Danzig.

President Roosevelt was aware that economic and social conditions in Germany were far superior to those in the Soviet Union. Ambassador Joseph E. Davies, who succeeded Bullitt at Moscow, reported to Roosevelt on April 1, 1938, that the terror in Russia was "a horrifying fact." Davies also complained about the gigantic Soviet expenditures on armaments, and he reported that about 25% of the total Soviet national income in 1937 was spent on defense, compared to 10% in Germany. Davies reported that Stalin, in a letter to Pravda on February 14, 1938, had confirmed his intention to spread the Communist system throughout the world. Stalin promised that the Soviet Government would work with foreign Communists to achieve this goal. He concluded his letter by stating: "I wish very much ... that there were no longer on earth such unpleasant things as a capitalistic environment, the danger of a military attack, the danger of the restoration of capitalism, and so on." Davies mentioned that General Ernst Koestring, the veteran German military attache in the Soviet Union, continued to hold a high opinion of the Red Army despite the gigantic purges of 1937 in the Russian military services. Davies concluded that the Soviet Union could best be described as "a terrible tyranny." The presentation of these reports did not prompt President Roosevelt to withdraw the statement he had made in his major address at Chicago on October 6, 1937, that the Soviet Union was one of the peace-loving nations of the world. Roosevelt was fully

aware of the danger from Communism, but he believed that this consideration was unimportant compared to his preferred objective of destroying National Socialist Germany.

Premier Daladier of France would have been furious had he known that Kennard was sabotaging British pressure on Poland with the argument that American sensibilities had to be taken into account. He told American Ambassador Bullitt at Paris on August 18th that he was shocked and angered by the "violence" with which Lukasiewicz and Beck had rejected Soviet aid to Poland. Daladier claimed that it would be easy to internationalize Soviet aid to the Poles by sending two French and one British divisions to Poland by way of Russia. Daladier repeated to Bullitt three times with increasing emphasis that he would not send a single French peasant to give his life for Poland if the Poles rejected Russian aid.

Bullitt was alarmed by this revelation of what he considered a violently anti-Polish reaction on the part of Daladier. He had applied pressure for months on Daladier and Alexis Leger, the Secretary-General at the French Foreign Office, in the hope that they would distance themselves from the peace policy of Georges Bonnet and repudiate that policy. He had visited London in May 1939 to coordinate his strategy with the efforts of Sir Robert Vansittart. The Diplomatic Adviser to His Majesty's Government considered relations with France to be his own special province, and he hoped to support the Halifax war policy by securing French participation in any war against Germany. Vansittart assured Bullitt that Alexis Leger was his "intimate friend," and that Leger could be relied upon to support the efforts of Halifax and Roosevelt to involve France in war with Germany.

Bullitt, Vansittart, and Leger feared that Sir Eric Phipps, the British Ambassador to France and brother-in-law of Vansittart, shared the negative attitude of Prime Minister Chamberlain toward an alliance between the Western Powers and Russia. Bullitt had begun to dislike Bonnet, and he reported to President Roosevelt without any regard for accuracy: "in point of fact both Bonnet and Sir Eric Phipps were opposed to bringing the Soviet Union into close cooperation with France and England." Bullitt also feared that Prime Minister Chamberlain might attempt to challenge the policy of Halifax and restore his own control over the conduct of British policy. American Ambassador Kennedy had reported from London on July 20, 1939, that Chamberlain was "sick and disgusted with Russians." The British Prime Minister believed that Hitler would welcome any tangible opportunity for a peaceful settlement. Chamberlain knew that Hitler was not bluffing and that he might gamble on a war, but he told Kennedy that Hitler "is highly intelligent and therefore would not be prepared to wage a world war."

President Roosevelt had intervened directly in the negotiations between the Soviet Union and the Western Powers on August 4, 1939. Lawrence Steinhardt, who had succeeded Davies as American Ambassador to Russia, was instructed by confidential letter to tell Molotov that the interests of the United States and the Soviet Union were identical in promoting the defeat of Italy and Germany in a European war. President Roosevelt urged the Soviet Union to conclude a military alliance with Great Britain and France, and he intimated that the United States would ultimately join this coalition of Powers. The American Ambassador was informed that President Roosevelt had told Soviet Ambassador Konstantin Umansky, before the latter departed for Russia on leave, that the

United States hoped to achieve a position of solidarity with the Soviet Union against Germany and Italy.

The Russians were pleased with the Roosevelt message because it strengthened their position in negotiations with both the Western Powers and Germany, and the support of Roosevelt made it easier for them to gain consent for their ambitious program of expansion in Finland, Poland, Rumania, Lithuania, Latvia and Estonia. The Russians had no desire to conceal from the foreign Powers the contents of the confidential Roosevelt message. The news of the message appeared in the *Voelkischer Beobachter* at Berlin on August 11, 1939, and its contents were published by the *Ilustrowany Kurjer* at Krakow on August 13, 1939. Steinhardt knew that Umansky had been informed of the contents of the Roosevelt message before leaving the United States. The letter with the message was sent by way of Bullitt at Paris, and Steinhardt did not receive it until August 15, 1939. He concluded that Molotov had instructed Umansky to reveal the contents of the letter before it reached Russia, and that Molotov had proceeded to permit the news of the letter to reach the foreign Powers before he had actually received it himself.

Steinhardt presented the Roosevelt letter to Molotov on August 16, 1939 and the two diplomats proceeded to discuss its contents. Roosevelt, in writing the letter, had hoped to influence Russian policy in favor of the Western Powers, but it is not surprising that he failed completely in this effort, and that Molotov used the message for his own purposes. Molotov told Steinhardt that the British and French military missions had come to Russia to discuss military collaboration in terms which the Soviet Foreign Commissar characterized as "vague generalities." Molotov added that these missions were unable to contend with the specific points which Russia had raised.

Steinhardt reported to President Roosevelt on August 16th that he was personally convinced that the Soviet Union would seek to avoid participation in the early phase of a European conflict. This annoyed President Roosevelt, who seemingly would have led the United States into a European conflict on the first day of war had American public opinion and the American Congress permitted such a policy. The American President was perturbed to learn, a few days later, that Alexis Leger at the French Foreign Office was not the unconditional advocate of war-at-any-price which Bullitt had claimed. Leger revealed his opinion that it would be exceedingly unwise for Great Britain and France to attack Germany without military support from the Soviet Union. This seemed to indicate that there would be virtually no support for a war policy in France if the negotiations at Moscow failed. Roosevelt also learned that Premier Daladier was continuing to denounce the "criminal folly" of the Poles. President Roosevelt knew that Halifax would abandon his project for war against Germany if he was unable to gain the military support of either the Soviet Union or France. The possibility that the peace might be saved was perturbing to the American President who hoped to utilize a European war to achieve his dream for the perpetuation of his tenure and the increase of his personal prestige and glory.

By August 11th, even as negotiations with the British and French are still in progress, Stalin decides to exercise the option with Germany. A definite indication is sent to Berlin the next day. Russian Foreign Minister Molotov and German Ambassador Schulenberg engage in preliminary talks. With the final failure of the British and French missions, the way is open for a German-Soviet agreement. On August 23rd, after the settling of a commercial treaty, Ribbentrop flies to Moscow; that night a German-Soviet

nonaggression pact is signed and announced to the world. It is a desperate, quickly-snatched triumph for Hitler, whose satisfaction at his position is marred only by the knowledge that Count Ciano, the Italian Foreign Minister, had backed Italy down and out of the "united front" with Germany in the face of an evident Anglo-French determination to go to war over Danzig.

In July, Hitler had launched a private program for peace at the suggestion of Reichsmarshall Göring. Göring's friend Birger Dahlerus, a Swedish engineer with many contacts in both Britain and Germany, arranged unofficial meetings throughout July and August between Germans and British supporters of the Chamberlain government. Other private contacts between the Germans and the British developed. Potentially good news about the attitude of influential Britons-their desire to see peace between Britain and Germany maintained-came from these conferences, including a report stating that William S. Ropp, who had been selected to head the British Air Ministry intelligence service division for Germany in wartime, claimed that there was lively opposition to war with Germany in the British Air Ministry. Ropp had further suggested that a British-French declaration of war on Germany need not be taken seriously, because it would be possible to conclude peace after the completion of the Polish phase of hostilities. Göring, ever suspicious, suspects the Ropp remarks may be a British ploy, designed to lure Hitler into gambling in Poland. But Alfred Rosenberg, head of the Foreign Policy office of the National Socialist Party, believes the sentiments may well be genuine and accurate. His report on the matter is forwarded to the German Foreign Office and to Hitler.

There is so much more But I'm so lazy about this part